## BLACKSTONE RIVER BASIN WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS

BELL POND DAM MA 00148

## PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

The original hardcopy version of this report contains color photographs and or drawings. For additional information on this report please email

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New England District Email: Library@nae02.usace.army.mil



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

OCTOBER 1978

#### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

	REPORT DOCUMENTATION	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
•	REPORT NUMBER MA 00148	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	
1	BELL POND DAM, WORCESTER, MASS; NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT
į			4. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
ŧ	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
ı	NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		DACW33-78-C-0306
_	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS METCALF & EDDY, INC.		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINE	12. REPORT DATE  OCTOBER 1978	
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MASS 02254			13. HUMBER OF PAGES 48
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilloreni		trem Controlling Office)	16. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
			ISA. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

Program on cover reads:Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title is National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number)

Dams, Inspection, Dam safety, Earth dam, Bell Pond, Worcester, Mass, Blackstone River Basin

Bell POnd is located in a depression on top of bedrock hill. Earthfill dam built before 1843. Dam is 230 'long and 3.7' high. Crest is 14' wide and EL varies from 670.0 to 671.3. Dam is in fair condition and has been placed in "significant" hazard category according to COE guidelines for classification of hazard potential. This is so due to lack of a low level regulating outlet and the accumulation of debris at the up stream end of the existing catch basin outlet. Hydraulic analyses indicated that the outlet pipe at the catch basin can discharge an estimated 20 cfs when pond is at EL 670.0

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

NEDED

FEB 23 1979

Honorable Edward J. King Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State House Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Góvernor King:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Bell Pond Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, the City of Worcester, Department of Public Works, 20 East Worcester Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01604, ATTN: Mr. F. Worth Landers, Commissioner.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl As stated

Colonel, Corps of Engineers

Division Engineer

## BELL POND DAM MA 00148

BLACKSTONE RIVER BASIN WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS

PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

### NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

#### BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Identification No.: MA00148

Name of Dam: Bell Pond

Town: Worcester

County and State: Worcester County, Massachusetts

Stream: None

Date of Inspection: September 18, 1978

Bell Pond is located in a depression on top of a bedrock hill. An earthfill dam built some time prior to 1843 is located on the south shore of the pond. The dam is 230 feet long and has a maximum height of 3.7 feet. The depth of the pond is about 10 to 13 feet. The crest of the dam is about 14 feet wide and varies from elevation (E1) 670.0 to 671.3. The side slopes are made of earth and slope at 2:1 on the upstream face and 3:1 on the downstream face. Downstream of the dam is an earth channel 14 feet wide and 2 feet deep. This channel leads down a steep slope to a series of erosion control channels which eventually discharge into a storm drainage basin. At the northeast corner of the pond is a catch basin with an outlet pipe at invert E1 668.8. This serves as the only spillway at the site. The pipe reportedly drains to the drainage collection system beneath Belmont Street along the north shore of the pond.

There are deficiencies which must be corrected to assure the continued performance of this dam. This conclusion is based upon the visual inspection at the site, the lack of engineering data, and limited operating and maintenance information. Generally, the dam is in fair condition. It has been placed in the "significant" hazard category, according to the Corps of Engineers guidelines for the classification of hazard potential.

The dam is considered to be a hazard because of the lack of a low-level regulating outlet and the accumulation of debris at the upstream end of the existing catch basin outlet. The following are other signs of distress observed at the site: slight seepage through the embankment of the dam along the downstream toe, a dense growth of trees and brush on the downstream slope of the dam, and deteriorated concrete and accumulated debris in the stormwater drainage basin below the erosion control channels.

Hydraulic analyses indicate that the outlet pipe at the catch basin can discharge an estimated 20 cubic feet per second (cfs) when the pond level is at El 670.0, which is the low point on the crest of the dam. A test flood outflow (one-half probable maximum flood (PMF)) of 36 cfs will overtop the dam by a maximum of 0.2 feet. The outlet pipe can discharge about 56 percent of the test flood outflow.

It is recommended that the Owner employ the services of a qualified consultant to design a low level outlet for the pond. In addition, the Owner should accomplish the following: remove accumulated debris from the catch basin and outlet pipe; control seepage at the toe of the dam; clear trees and brush from the slopes of the embankment; and repair the concrete and remove debris at the stormwater drainage basin below the erosion control channels. The Owner should also implement a systematic program of inspection and maintenance.

Removal of debris from the catch basin and outlet pipe should be undertaken by the Owner within six months of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report. The other recommendations and remedial measures described above and in Section 7 should be implemented by the Owner within a period of two years. An alternative to these recommendations would be to breach or remove the dam.

Edward M. Greco, P.E. Project Manager Metcalf & Eddy, Inc.

notall a lady, life,

No. 08365

Connecticut Registration

Approved by:

Stephen L. Bishop, P.E.

Vice President

Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. Massachusetts Registration

No. 19703

STEPHEN

STEPHEN

BISHOP

No. 19703

OCC STONAL ENGINE

This Phase I Inspection Report on Bell Pond Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

RICHARD F. DOHERTY, MEMBER

Water Control Branch Engineering Division

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER

Design Branch

Engineering Division

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, CHAIRMAN

Chief, NED Materials Testing Lab.

Foundations & Materials Branch

Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

OF R. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

#### PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for a Phase I Investigation. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrology and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general conditions and the downstream damage potential.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BRIEF ASSESSMENT	
PREFACE	
OVERVIEW PHOTO	
LOCATION MAP	
REPORT	
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	1.
<pre>1.1 General 1.2 Description of Project 1.3 Pertinent Data</pre>	1 2 4
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	8
<ul><li>2.1 General</li><li>2.2 Construction Records</li><li>2.3 Operating Records</li><li>2.4 Evaluation of Data</li></ul>	8 8 8
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	10
3.1 Findings 3.2 Evaluation	10 10
SECTION 4 - OPERATING PROCEDURES	12
<ul><li>4.1 Procedures</li><li>4.2 Maintenance of Dam</li><li>4.3 Maintenance of Operating</li></ul>	12 12
Facilities	12
4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect 4.5 Evaluation	12 12
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	13
5.1 Evaluation of Features	13

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)


	Page
SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	15
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	15
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	16
7.1 Dam Assessment 7.2 Recommendations 7.3 Remedial Measures 7.4 Alternatives	16 17 17 18
APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX A - PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECKLIST	
APPENDIX B - PLAN OF DAM AND PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORTS	
APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS	
APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	
APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	

# OVERVIEW BELL POND WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS



VIEW OF UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM

Location and Direction of Photographs Shown on Figure in Appendix B



LOCATION MAP - BELL POND DAM

## NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

BELL POND DAM

SECTION 1

#### · PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 General

Authority. Public Law 92-367, August 8, a. 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. under a letter of July 28, 1978, from Ralph T. Garver, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-78-C-0306 V has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

#### b. Purpose

- (1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
- (2) Encourage and assist the States to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
- (3) Update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

#### 1.2 Description of Project

- a. Location. Bell Pond Dam is located on Chandler Hill in the City of Worcester, Worcester County, Massachusetts (see Location Map). The pond is a naturally-occurring body of water which is located in a depression near the top of a bedrock hill. The dam was constructed at this site to increase the storage capacity of the pond. The pond has no inlet or outlet watercourse other than the stormwater collection system.
- b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. Bell Pond Dam is a 230-foot long, earthfill dam located on the southern slope of the pond (see Figure B-1). The dam has a maximum height of 3.7 feet, and the crest varies from El 670.0 to 671.3. The depth of the pond is about 10 to 13 feet. A foot path is located on the crest of the dam which is about 14 feet wide. The upstream slope, which is covered with grass and brush, slopes at 2:1. The downstream slope is covered with brush and small trees and slopes at 3:1. Outcrops of granite bedrock are located at both abutments of the dam.

The foundation of an abandoned gate house is located near the midpoint of the dam on the upstream face. The foundation is 11 feet square in size and constructed of mortared granite blocks with an interior lining of brick. There is an opening in the upstream wall which contains iron guides for two sets of flashboards. The interior of the gate house has been backfilled with soil, and the flashboards are gone.

Downstream of the dam and opposite the gate house is an earth channel about 14 feet wide and 2 feet deep. An abandoned gate valve stem is protruding from the floor of the channel at about 80 feet below the dam. The channel passes over a saddle in the ridge around the pond and leads to the steep south slope of Chandler Hill, beginning at about 230 feet from the dam. On the lower half of the slope, a series of erosion control channels have been constructed in three levels. These are built

along the contours of the slope, and runoff is directed laterally, then drops from the end of each level to the next lower level, eventually collecting at the bottom of the hill and into a stormwater drainage basin. The channels are constructed with a downslope vertical concrete retaining wall 2 to 3.7 feet high. Riprap has been placed on the slope of the hill.

There is a catch basin and outlet pipe located at the northeast corner of the pond. This serves as the only spillway at the site. The catch basin is constructed of a concrete slab with a metal grating on top and a trash rack facing the pond. The catch basin is clogged with debris. The invert of the outlet conduit is approximately at El 668.8. Although the outlet pipe was not visible, the diameter of the pipe was estimated to be 15 inches. This pipe reportedly drains to the drainage collection system beneath Belmont Street which is located along the north shore of the pond.

- c. Size Classification. Bell Pond Dam is classified in the "small" category since it has a maximum height of 3.7 feet and a maximum storage capacity of 65 acre-feet.
- d. Hazard Classification. Bell Pond Dam is located on a hill in the downtown area of Worcester. Failure of the dam could cause flood damage to residences and roadways at the bottom of Chandler Hill. However, in the event of failure of the dam, the quantity of water which would be released is small. It is unlikely that loss of life or more than an appreciable amount of property damage could occur. Accordingly, the dam has been placed in the "significant" lazard category.
- e. Ownership. The dam is owned by the City of Worcester, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works, 20 East Worcester Street, Worcester, Massachusetts. Mr. F. Worth Landers, Commissioner, (telephone 617-798-8151), granted permission to enter the property and inspect the dam.
- f. Operator. Since there are no operating facilities at this site, there are no operators of the dam.

- g. Purpose of Dam. The dam was built some time prior to 1843 and served as the first water supply reservoir for the City of Worcester. The pond is currently part of a park and is being used for recreation, such as swimming and fishing. A bath house and beach are located at the northwest corner of the pond.
- h. Design and Construction History. A drawing dated 1843 shows that Bell Pond was originally called Bladder Pond. The gate house on the southern shore is shown discharging to an "aqueduct" which carried water to the small town of Worcester. A drawing dated 1873 shows the pond, the gate house, and a long narrow island in the pond along the west and north shores. A drawing dated 1910 (see Figure B-2) shows a second gate house at the northeast corner of the pond. None of these drawings show details of the dam.

Previous inspection reports state that the gate house had been abandoned by 1955. Personnel at the Worcester Department of Public Works state that the erosion control channels on the south side of Chandler Hill were constructed about 1956. In 1965, the catch basin and outlet pipe were built at the northeast corner of the pond.

Beginning in 1966, the City of Worcester sent several requests to the State Division of Water-ways to do flood control work at Bell Pond. The proposed repairs consisted of "blocking off the old spillway, raising the embankment of the dam, and building a headwall at the outlet pipe near Belmont Street". These repairs have not been made.

i. Normal Operational Procedures. There are no normal operating procedures at this dam.

#### 1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area. The topographic drainage area for Bell Pond is approximately 30 acres (0.05 square miles). This area is divided by Belmont Street which is located along the north shore of the pond. North of Belmont Street is a thickly developed residential area built on a

hillside which slopes at about 20 percent. South of Belmont Street, the area around Bell Pond is a park and is therefore undeveloped except for a bath house at the northwest corner of the pond. The land is hilly with numerous outcrops of bedrock and a slope of about 15 percent. The area is partly wooded and partly grassed.

b. Discharge at the Dam Site. Water is discharged through a catch basin and outlet conduit located at the northeast corner of the pond. The catch basin has a trash rack facing the pond. The outlet pipe is assumed to be a minimum of 15 inches in diameter and has an invert at approximately Fl 668.8. The pipe reportedly drains to the drainage collection system beneath Belmont Street. The system drains to the Mill Brook Drain, which is the main interceptor beneath Worcester. Eventually flow is discharged into the Blackstone River.

Hydraulic analyses indicate that the outlet conduit can discharge 20 cfs with the pond level at El 670.0, which is the low point on the crest of the dam. An outflow test flood of 36 cfs (one-half the full probable maximum flood) will overtop the dam by a maximum of 0.2 feet and will overflow the area near the catch basin and outlet pipe by about 0.1 feet.

Personnel at the Worcester Department of Public Works recall that the dam was overtopped during the hurricane of 1955 and that water also overflowed the northeast corner of the pond and flowed down Belmont Street.

- c. Elevation (feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL)). A benchmark elevation of 670.0 was established on the steel grating of the catch basin at the outlet conduit. This elevation was estimated from a U. S. Geological Survey topographic map.
  - (1) Top dam: 670.0 to 671.3
  - (2) Test flood pool: 670.2
  - (3) Design surcharge (original design): Unknown

- (4) Full flood control pool: Not applicable (N/A)
- (5) Recreation pool: 668.8 invert of catch basin
- (6) Spillway crest: 668.8 invert of catch basin
- (7) Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel: N/A
- (8) Stream bed at dam: N/A
- (9) Maximum tailwater: None

#### d. Reservoir

- (1) Length of maximum pool: 900 feet
- (2) Length of recreation pool: 900 feet
- (3) Length of flood control pool: N/A

#### e. Storage (acre-feet)

- (1) Test flood surcharge (net): 12 at El 670.2
- (2) Top of dam: 65
- (3) Flood control pool: N/A
- (4) Recreation pool: 54 invert of catch basin
- (5) Spillway crest: 54 invert of catch basin

#### f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

- \*(1) Top dam: 9
- \*(2) Maximum pool: 9

<sup>\*</sup>Based on the assumption that the surface area will not significantly increase with changes in reservoir elevation from 668.8 to 670.0

- (3) Flood-control pool: N/A
- (4) Recreation pool: 9
- (5) Spillway crest: 9

#### g. Dam

- (1) Type: earthfill
- (2) Length: 230 feet
- (3) Height: 3.7 feet
- (4) Top width: 14 feet
- (5) Side slopes: Upstream: 2:1, Downstream: 3:1
- (6) Zoning: Unknown
- (7) Impervious core: Unknown
- (8) Cutoff: Unknown
- (9) Grout curtain: Unknown

#### i. Spillway

- (1) Type: The catch basin and outlet pipe serve as a spillway at this site.
- (2) Length of weir: N/A
- (3) Crest elevation: 668.8 (invert of catch basin)
- (4) Gates: None
- (5) Upstream channel: None
- (6) Downstream channel: 15-inch pipe leads to storm drain beneath Belmont Street and eventually to Blackstone River via Mill Brook Drain.
- j. Regulating Outlets. The only outlet at the dam is a pipe with an invert at approximately El 668.8. The pipe is assumed to be 15 inches in diameter. The intake to the pipe is a catch basin which has a metal grating on top and a trash rack opening facing the pond.

#### ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 General. Three drawings, dated 1843, 1873 and 1910 showing Bell Pond are available at the Worcester Department of Public Works. These show the pond and locations of gate houses, but do not give details of the dam. The drawing dated 1910 is included in Appendix B. There are no other plans, specifications or computations available from the Owner, State or County offices relative to the design and construction of the dam. The only data available for this evaluation were visual observations made during inspection, review of previous inspection reports, and conversations with City, State, and County personnel.

We acknowledge the assistance and cooperation of personnel of the Massachusetts Department of Public Works: Messrs. Willis Regan and Raymond Rochford, and of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, Division of Waterways: Messrs. John J. Hannon and Joseph Iagallo.

Also, we acknowledge the cooperation and assistance of personnel from the Worcester County Engineer's Office: Messrs. John O'Toole and Joseph Brazauskas.

Personnel from the Worcester Department of Public Works - Messrs. F. W. Landers, Dick Grant, and Ed Mara - also provided information on the history of the dam.

- 2.2 <u>Construction Records</u>. There are no construction records available.
- 2.3 Operating Records. No operating records are available, and there is no daily record kept of the elevation of the pool or rainfall at the dam site.

#### 2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability. Due to the age of this dam, there is no engineering data available.

- b. Adequacy. The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and engineering judgment.
- c. <u>Validity</u>. The limited engineering data available is valid.

#### VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 Findings

- a. General. The Phase I Inspection of the dam at Bell Pond was performed on September 18, 1978. A copy of the inspection check list is in Appendix A. Previous inspections by others have been made since 1927. A partial listing of these inspections is in Appendix B. An inspection was made by the Massachusetts Department of Public Works in 1973. A letter summarizing their findings is included in Appendix B.
- b. Dam. The dam is in fair condition. The ground along the downstream toe of the dam is wet and soft indicating slight seepage. Cattails are growing in that area. Seepage was reported at about 30 feet west of the abandoned gate house in the 1973 inspection report by the Massachusetts Department of Public Works.

The downstream face of the dam is heavily overgrown with brush and small trees. Some brush is also growing on the upstream face of the dam. There is no riprap protection on the upstream slope.

- c. Appurtenant Structures. The only spillway is a catch basin and outlet pipe at the northeast corner of the pond. The catch basin and upstream end of the pipe are clogged with soil, leaves and trash. In its present condition, the outlet is practically inoperable. The land around the outlet is low in elevation, and there is only about 1.3 feet of freeboard above the invert of the conduit (El 668.8).
- d. Reservoir Area. The area around the pond is mostly a park, and the only structures are a bath house at the northeast corner and a small commercial building near the northeast

- corner. Belmont Street (State Route 9) is located along the north shore of the pond. The land is generally hilly and partly grassed and partly wooded.
- Downstream Channel. The channel downstream of the dam is an unlined earth channel with sloping sides. The floor of the channel is moist and contains organic material. The sides are densely overgrown with trees. There is a gate valve stem protruding from the floor of the channel about 80 feet downstream of the dam. Below Chandler Hill, the floor as well as the sides of the channel are overgrown with trees. The concrete on the erosion control channels is cracked and spalled in some places. Sections of the channels contain an accumulation of leaves and soil up to a foot thick. The concrete on the stormwater drainage basin at the bottom of the hill is severely eroded and crumbling. There is an accumulation of leaves and other debris in the trash racks on the sides of the basin.
- 3.2 Evaluation. The above findings indicate that the dam and appurtenant structures have several signs of distress which require attention. It is evident that the dam is not adequately maintained and that deterioration will continue unless action is taken. Recommended measures to improve these conditions are included in Section 7.

#### OPERATING PROCEDURES

- 4.1 <u>Procedures</u>. There are no operable facilities and no regular operating procedures at this dam.
- 4.2 Maintenance of Dam. The dam is leaking slightly as evidenced by wet and soft ground along the downstream toe. There is also a dense growth of trees and brush on the downstream slope of the dam and a moderate growth of brush on the upstream slope of the dam.
- 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities. The catch basin and outlet pipe are clogged with soil, leaves and trash. In the present condition, flow in the pipe is severely restricted. There is no low-level outlet at this dam to draw down the pond in an emergency.
- 4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect. There are no warning systems in effect at this dam.
- 4.5 Evaluation. There is no regular program of maintenance or any warning system in effect at Bell Pond Dam. This is undesirable considering that the dam is in the "significant" hazard category. A program of maintenance for this dam should be implemented as recommended in Section 7.3.

#### HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

#### 5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design Data. The probable maximum flood (PMF) rate was determined to be 3,350 cfs per square mile. This calculation is based on an average drainage area slope of 15.0 percent, the pend area to drainage area ratio of 28 percent, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Flow Rates (dated December 1977). Applying one-half the PMF rate to the 0.047 square miles of drainage area results in a calculated peak flood flow of 76 cfs as the inflow test flood. By adjusting the inflow test flood for surcharge storage, the maximum discharge rate was established as 36 cfs (720 cfs per square mile) with a water surface at El 670.2.

Flow over the crest of the dam during the test flood is predicted to be 8 cfs. Flow through the outlet pipe (estimated to be 15-inch diameter pipe) would be 25 cfs with water overflowing the northeast corner of the pond and down Belmont Street at a rate of 3 cfs. The maximum depth on the crest of the dam would be 0.2 feet with a discharge of 0.23 cfs per foot of width. Depth at critical flow would be at 0.12 feet with a velocity of 1.9 feet per second.

Hydraulic analyses indicate that the existing outlet pipe (assuming a 15-inch diameter pipe) can discharge at 20 cfs with the water surface at El 670.0 which is the low point on the crest of the dam. This is 56 percent of the test flood.

b. Experience Data. Hydraulic records are not available for this dam, however, personnel at the Worcester Department of Public Works recall that the dam was overtopped during the hurricane of 1955, causing water to flow over the southern slope of Chandler Hill and into the Chilmark Street and Shrewsbury Street

areas. Water also overflowed the northeast corner of the pond and down Belmont Street. Since that time, the catch basin and outlet pipe have been constructed at the northeast corner of the pond.

c. Visual Observations. Discharge from Bell Pond is through a catch basin and pipe with an invert at approximately El 668.8. The size of the outlet pipe could not be determined and was assumed to be 15 inches in diameter. The pipe reportedly leads to a drainage collection system beneath Belmont Street. The northeast corner of the pond, where the outlet pipe is located, is a low area immediately adjacent to Belmont Street. This street grades steeply from the edge of the pond down the east slope of Chandler Hill.

During periods of high runoff, discharge over the crest of the dam will flow in an earth channel over the southern edge of Chandler Hill. A series of erosion control channels has been constructed on the steep southern slope of the hill. These will divert flow laterally and downward in steps and eventually collect the runoff in a stormwater drainage basin at the bottom of the hill. A more detailed record of observation is included in Section 3, Visual Inspection.

d. Overtopping Potential. Overtopping of the dam by about 0.2 feet is expected under the outflow test flood of 36 cfs. The low area next to the catch basin and pipe will also be overtopped by about 0.1 feet.

Failure of the dam would produce a peak discharge of 187 cfs and a flood wave 1.7 feet high with a velocity of 6.3 fps (feet per second).

#### STRUCTURAL STABILITY

#### 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

- a. Visual Observations. The evaluation of the structural stability of Bell Pond Dam is based on the visual inspection conducted on September 18, 1978. As discussed in Section 3, Visual Inspection, the embankment appears to be in fair condition. Slight seepage is indicated along the downstream toe where the ground is wet and soft. There is a dense growth of trees and brush on the downstream slope of the dam, and some brush growing on the upstream slope of the dam.
- b. Design and Construction Data. There are no plans, specifications, or computations available on the design, construction, or repair of this dam from the Owner, County, or State offices. Furthermore, information does not appear to exist on the type, shear strength, and permeability of the soil and/or rock materials of the embankment.
- c. Operating Records. There is no evidence that instrumentation of any type was ever installed in Bell Pond Dam. The performance of this dam under prior loading can only be inferred by physical evidence at the site.
- d. <u>Post-Construction Changes</u>. There are no asbuilt drawings available for Bell Pond Dam.
- e. Seismic Stability. The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 2 and in accordance with Phase I "Recommended Guidelines" does not warrant seismic analyses.

### ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### 7.1 Dam Assessment

Condition. Bell Pond Dam was neither designed a. nor constructed according to the current approved state-of-the-art procedures. Based on the visual inspection of the site, there are areas of concern which must be corrected to assure the continued performance of this dam. Generally, the dam is considered to be in fair condition. The major problems are: accumulation of debris blocking the catch basin and outlet pipe at the northeast end of the pond, the lack of a low level outlet at the dam, slight seepage through the dam along the downstream toe, and a dense growth of trees and brush on the downstream slope of the embankment.

Hydraulic analyses indicate that the outlet pipe (assumed diameter of 15 inches) can discharge an estimated 20 cfs when the pond level is at 670.0, which is the low point on the crest of the dam. An outflow test flood of 36 cfs (one-half the PMF) will overtop the dam by a maximum of 0.2 feet and will overflow the pond near the outlet pipe by about 0.1 feet.

- b. Adequacy. The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and engineering judgment.
- c. <u>Urgency</u>. The recommendations and remedial measures outlined below should be implemented by the Owner within two years after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report. However, removal of accumulated debris from the catch basin and outlet pipe should be undertaken within six months.

- d. Need for Additional Investigations. Additional investigations to further assess the adequacy of the dam are outlined below in Section 7.2, Recommendations.
- 7.2 Recommendations. In view of the concerns over the continued performance of the dam it is recommended that the Owner employ a qualified consultant to design a low level, gated outlet for the pond.

The recommendations on repairs and maintenance procedures are outlined below under Section 7.3, Remedial Measures.

#### 7.3 Remedial Measures

- a. Operating and Maintenance Procedures. The dam and appurtenant structures are not adequately maintained. It is recommended that the Owner accomplish the following:
  - (1) remove accumulated debris from the catch basin and outlet pipe at the northeast corner of the pond
  - (2) control slight seepage along the downstream toe of the dam
  - (3) clear trees and brush from the downstream slope of the dam, and brush from the upstream slope of the dam
  - (4) repair deteriorating concrete on the stormwater drainage basin at the bottom of the erosion control channels, and remove accumulated debris from the trash racks on the sides of the basin.
  - (5) institute a definite plan for surveillance and a warning system during periods of unusually heavy rain and/or runoff.
  - (6) implement a systematic program of maintenance inspections. As a minimum, the inspection program should consist of a monthly inspection of the dam and appurtenances, supplemented by additional

inspections during and after severe storms. All repairs and maintenance should be undertaken in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

- (7) technical inspections of this dam should be conducted on an annual basis.
- 7.4 Alternatives. An alternative to the recommendations and remedial measures outlined above would be to breach or remove the dam.

#### APPENDIX A

## PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECKLIST

#### PERIODIC INSPECTION

#### PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT Bell Pond Dam	DATE 9-18-78		
•		TIME 8:00 am	
		WEATHER 60°	
		W.S. ELEV. 667.6	U.S. <u> —</u> _DN.S
PARTY:	В	enchmark elevation established on top of	670.0 Catch basin
1. Ed Greco	_ 6	Lyle Branagan	
2. Carol Sweet	7		
3. Frank Sviokla	8		··
4. Henry Lord	9		
5. David Cole			
PROJECT FEATURE		INSPECTED BY	REMARKS
1Dam		Ed Greco	
2. Outlet and Catch Basin		_	
3			
4.			
5	<del></del> ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6			
7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································
8.		## 17	
9			
10			······································

#### PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT3	ell Pond Dam	DATE	9-18-78	
PROJECT FEAT	rure Dam	NAME	E. Greco	-
DISCIPLINE	Geotechnical	NAME		-

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
M EMBANKMENT	earthfill dike Osouth end of pond at abandoned outlet works
Crest Elevation	at abandoned outlet works
Current Pool Elevation	667.6
Maximum Impoundment to Date	(500 '55 Report)
Surface Cracks	none visible
Pavement Condition	Not applicable
Movement or Settlement of Crest	irregular crest
Lateral Movement	none
Vertical Alignment	slightly irregular
Horizontal Alignment	relatively straight
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	bedrock outcrops at abutments
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	none
Trespassing on Slopes	footpaths - beach o NW corner of p
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	slight erosion of slopes
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	Scattered riprap - outcrops along shore - local sloughing
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	none visible
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	toe + downstream channel saturated - cattails
Piping or Boils	none
Foundation Drainage Features	none
Toe Drains	none
Instrumentation System	none-

page <u>#-2</u>of <u>3</u>


### PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST


PROJECT BELL POND DAM	DATE 9-18-78
PROJECT FEATURE OUTLE T WORKS	NAME L. Branagan
DISCIPLINE HYDRAULIC	NAME
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - STILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	abandoned Structure
a. Approach Channel	1
General Condition	
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	
Trees Overhanging Channel	
Floor of Approach Channel	
b. Weir and Training Walls	
General Condition of Concrete	
Rust or Staining	
Spalling	
Any Visible Reinforcing	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	
Drain Holes	
c. Discharge Channel	earth Channel
General Condition	fair
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	none
Trees Overhanging Channel	densely overgrown
Floor of Channel	earth- moist
	abandoned gate valve stem

#### APPENDIX B

## PLAN OF DAM AND PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORTS

÷							Page
Figure	B-1.	Plan	of Da	.m ,			B-1
Figure				andler dated		and	B <b>-</b> 2
Previou	s Insp	ectio	ns (F	artial	Listi	lng)	B <b>-</b> 3
Letter ment o						tts Depart-	B-4





1	and the second of the second o
TOWN OR CITY Worcester DECREE NO.	PLAN NO. DAM NO. $62-22$
LOCATION Bell pond - Belmont St.	C. C. DOCKET NO.
DESCRIPTION OF DAM	DESCRIPTION OF RESERVOIR & WATERSHED
Туре	Name of Main Stream
Length	" " any other Streams
Height	Length of Watershed
Thickness top	Width " "
" bottom	Is Watershed Cultivated
Downstream Slope	Percent in Forests
Upstream "	Steepness of Slope
Length of Spillway	Steepness of Slope Kind of Soil No. of Acres in Watershed  0.04 Sg. M
Size of Gates	No. of Acres in Watershed 0.04 Sa M
Location of Gates	" " " Reservoir /2
Flashboards used	Length of Reservoir
Width Flashboards or Gates	Width " "
Dam designed by	Max Flow Cu. Ft. per Sec. 1
" constructed by	Head or Flashboards-Low Water
Year constructed	" " " 'High "
GENERAL REMARKS	GENERAL REMARKS
Owned by City of Worcester. W.D. Inspected Oct. 6, 1927- L.O. Marden.	·
Inspected Oct. 6, 1927- L.O. Marden.	•
1	
	2-Library Boroon 18-92260

## PREVIOUS INSPECTIONS (PARTIAL LISTING)

COPY OF INSPECTION CARD ON FILE AT THE MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, DISTRICT OFFICE, WORCESTER.

### April 2, 1973

Mr. Richard Grant
Assistant Commissioner of Public Works
Division of Operations
20 East Worcester Street
Worcester, Massachusetts

RE: Inspection of Dams Worcester City of Worcester Dams

Doar Mr. Grant:

An engineer from the Massachusetts Department of Public Works has inspected dams, located in Worcester and owned by the City of Worcester.

The inspections were made in accordance with Chapter 253 of the Massachusetts General Laws, as amended by Chapter 595 of the Acts of 1970.

The results of the inspections are as follows:

## A. Parsons Reservoir Dam #3-14-348-18

Overall condition appears good with minor repairs indicated as Follows:

- 1. Replace missing granite paving blocks that have washed away.
- 2. Repair aqueduct intake, the approach spillway and downstream side of the spillway.
- B. Salisbury Pond Dam //3-14-31/8-19

Overall condition appears good.

- 1. Remove brush growing on the embankment.
- C. Horth Pond (Indian Lake) Dam #3-14-348-21

Overall condition appears good.

1. Remove debris from the spillway.

### Inspection of Dame

## North Pond (con't)

2. Remove trees and brush from the embankment.

### D. Bell Pond Dan #3-14-348-22

Overall condition indicates that the following repairs are needed:

- 1. 1. Repair or replace missing granite blocks in the spillway.
  - 2. Remove sand and debris from the spillway channel.
  - 3. Investigate the leak approximately 30' westerly of the spill-way, and correct as necessary.

## E. Green Hill Pond Dam #3-14-348-23

Overall condition appears good.

Remove debris from the spillway.

## F. Green Hill Dick Pond Dam #3-14-348-23.1

Overall condition appears good.

## G. Burneoat Park Pond Dam #3-14-348-35

Overall condition appears good.

1. Remove brush and trees from the embanisment.

We call these conditions to your attention now before they become serious and expensive to correct.

Very truly yours,

FRED. C. SCHWELM, P.E.

Doputy Chief Engineer

/// // LRA/afs

cc: G. E. Lybrand DHE#3 A. Troiano Dist. #3

# APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS



NO. 1 VIEW OF POND FROM ABANDONED OUTLET



NO. 2 VIEW OF ABANDONED OUTLET STRUCTURE



NO. 3 VIEW OF STONE RETAINING WALL ALONG BELMONT STREET



NO. 4 VIEW OF CATCH BASIN AT NORTHEAST CORNER OF POND



NO. 5 VIEW OF EARTH CHANNEL DOWNSTREAM OF OUTLET



NO. 6 VIEW OF CONCRETE WALL FOR EROSION CONTROL

### APPENDIX D

## HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

Project Nat. Review of Non Fed. Dams Acct. No. 6036 Page 1 of 5
Subject Worresten Mass. Area comptd. By LEB Date 11/3/78
Detail BELL POND Chid. By RW Date 11 6 75
*Emg 11/17/78
(I) Test Flood, loogear Storm & Storage Functions
7.10
1- Total Drainage Area - 0.047 mi
2- Pond(s) Area: 0.013 mi
Swamp(s) Area:
Total Area Pond(s) & Swamp(s): 0.013 mit
70 Ponds & Swamps = 0.013 = 27,7%
2 West: \$5 - 16.7%
North: 80 - 13.7% Say Ave Slope = 15%
4-Using C. of E. Curves for Peak Flow Rates & above quide
values the Peak Flow Rate was estimated to be on Rolling"
extrapolated to the D.A. and taken at 3250 cits./mi
Size Class: Small 3 Hazara Pot.: Significan; Spill. Des. Flood: 2 to 1 PMF Use: Test Flood = 1/2 PAF
5- Test Flood Inflow = \$ (3250)0.047 = 76 afs.
6- Pond Storage
The pond area 1:0.013 sq. mi. at elev. 1001 (Nom, at survey)
pased on a const. area, storage increases
at 8.3 ac. feet per foot of depth increase.  - Outlet elev. 101.1, Top outlet elev. 102.4, Aht. = 1.3 feet
Storage = 0 @ elev 101.1
1 = 10.8 ac.ft.@ elev.102.4
7- Storage Functions are based on Pour = Qin[1- 5001]
S I - Storm Will Bosonia I I A S -
Sout = Storage Vol. in Reservoir related to final Gout in terms of inches of rain over the drainage area.
S(mi Inches) = 12 D (-013) = 3,3 D; R=6hr rain of storm
D = Storage Depth (above spilling) on reservoir, in feet
8. Storage Functions: (FT=); D= 0 @ PondE1.101.1
$F_{TF} = 76 - 85 = 76 - 26.4D$
* Elevations for these computations based on water sufface
El. 100. Water surface clevetion in report is 667.6
Therefore and 5676 to clavetime because

Project .	Nat. Review of Non Fed. Damsacct, No.	6036 Pag	e 2 of 5
Subject .	Worcester Mass, Area Comptd.	By LES Dat	10/4/18
Detail	BELL POND CK'd BY		e 11/6/78

## I Discharge Ratings

[Ref.: V.T. Chow-Op. Ch. Hyde. - pg 498] A- Side Opening in Outlet Opening Invert El. 101.1 Opening 4 wide x 1' high - treat as culvert Winlet cont. Pso = Disch. = (Value from Ref. Fig. 17.29) x (4'width); d=1' 0,3 0.5 1.5 2.0 5.0 4.8 2.0 36.0 40.0 13.3 19.6 23.2 30,4 Pond 101.4 101.6 102.1 102.4 103.1 104.1 105.1 Elev

B- Top Grating - 2'x 2.3' Square - 55% bars - area = 26+2 OT = Ca A Vigh ; Use G = 0.6 7 no approach wel. Grating @ Elev. 102,4 Pond 102.4 103,1 104.1 105.1 106.1 Eleu 2.7 3,7 1.7 h 0.2 0.7 12.5 15.8 18.5 Q<sub>t</sub> 8.0 4.3

C. Max. Outlet Capacity
Assume 15" poutlet pipe. Max Vel = 20fps.

1. Max. Assumed Cap = 20x 1.227ft 25.cfs.

D- Flow Over Dike on South Side [Ref. pp52-53]

Min., level of low dike is 102.4. Ave level ≈ 103±
Use 90'@ El. 102.5 \$ 140' @ El. 103; Use g = 2.55(H)"

Pond 102.4 103.1 104.1 105.1

Qc, 7.2 106.7 464.5 962.0

Qc2 — 11.3 411.9 1086.4

Project Nat. Review of Non Fed. Dams Acct. No. 6036

Subject Worcester Mass Avea Comptd. By LEB Date 10/4/78

Detail BELL POND Ck'd. By RW Date 11/6/78

## I <u>Discharge Ratings</u> - (Cont.)

E-Channel Capacity (dnstr. of South side dike)  $N = \frac{12}{3}$   $N = \frac{12}{86}$   $N = \frac{12$ 

y	Å	P	R 1/3	V	$\varphi$	Water Eli @ Dike	Energy & MIN. Level ST ELEV.
2.0	40	26.7	1.31	5,75	230 cfs.	102	10215
1.5	27.75	23,5	1.12	4.92	136 m	101.5	101.9
1,0	17	20.4	0,885	3,89	66 "	101	101.2
0.5	7,75	17.26	0.586	2.58	20 "	100.5	100.6
2.5	54	26.7	1,60	7,04	380 "	102.5	103.3


## F- Down Belmont St.

About 30' @ El. 102.5 ± - Use 9=2.55-H"5, P8=76.5 H"5
Pond 102.6 103.1 104.1

Qa 2.4 35.5 155

## 6- Summary

Pond Elev	101.4	101.6	102.1	102.6	103.1	104.1	
Qso QT	2	5	13	20	25*	25*	*Limited by Outlet Pipe
Öc.	_			7	107	465	Obtiet Tipe
Qcz Qs				2	11 36	412	
Protei	2	5	13	33	179	1057	



<sup>2</sup> roiect	Nat. Review of Non Fed. Dams	Acct. No.	6036	Page	5 of	<u> </u>
	Worcester Mass. Area			_ Date _	10/4/78	>
Detail _	BELL POND	Ck'd, By	RW	_ Date _	11/6/7	8
_	_			en	0 11/17	ح چرا

Results

Peak Outflow from Test Flood is 36 c.f.s. with pond elev. 102.6. This requires that about 8 c.f.s. pass over the crest of the dam and about 3 c.f.s. down Belmont St.

Max. flow over dam is  $2.55(0.2)^{1.5}=0.23$  efs/ft Where flow becomes critical:  $Y_c = \left(\frac{0.23^2}{32.12}\right)^{1/5} = 0.12$  &  $V_c = 1.9$  f.p.s.

Dam Failure

Pond El. = 102.4 (Min. DAM Elev.) Toe El. = 100.0 ± Yo = 2.4'

Use full width of dam, above disch. channel, = 30'

Op = 1.68(30)(2.4)" = 187 c.f.s.

This produces a depth in the downstream channel of about 1.7' with a velocity of about 5.3 f.ps.

Vol. of pond above elev 100± = 2,4(8,3) = 20 ac.ft.

Time to Drain to elev 100:

 $\frac{20(43560)}{3600(1/2)187} = 2.6 \text{ hours} = 155 \text{ minutes}$ 

### APPENDIX E

## INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

## INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES

	(i) (i)	<i>a a</i>	0 0		<u> </u>		0		••••	<b></b>	•		<b>®</b>			
STATE DENTITY DEVISION					<del></del>					<del></del> _	DE LONGI		REPORT DATE	i	.e. •	• '
NUMBER DIVISOR		DIST. SIAH	T DIST	r.		n:				(NORT			DAY MO Y	(A)		
MA LUB NED	44 027	63	<u> </u>		POND DAM					4216	2 714	7.0	170077	<u>a</u> ]	:	
	Γ—				<del> </del>			<del></del>		<u> </u>						
	ļ 		PCPULAR	NAME			L		NAME OF	IMPOUNDM	ENT					
	!						RELL	POND								
	<u> </u>			0					)			9)	<b>③</b>			
	REGION BASIN		RIVER	OR STREAM	И				WNSTREAM I – VILLAGE		PI FROM (M	ST ADAM	POPULATION			
	01 66					<u>"</u>	PREST	ER				0	17230	0		•
	<u>®</u>	)	Z YEAR	(ii	) (i	OC: TH	(B) YDRAU-1	(B)	DING CAPAC	(P)	 ]					
	TYPE OF	DAM	COMPLETED	PURPO	SES STR		YPŘAU- HEIGHT	MAXIMUN (ACRE - F		HMAL RE-FI	DIST	ORM	, FED R	PRV/FET	SCS	A VER/DATE
	REFG_		1840	R		4	6		65	54	NED	N	N	N	N	40EC78
	·					(3)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_			
					R	EMARK	S						1			
													7			
	<b>6 0</b>	(P)	(3)	® KIMUM	<b>®</b>	<u> </u>	)	<b>®</b> (	D (8)	<b>®</b> (	• •			<b>@</b>		
	D/S HAS CRES	SPILLWAY		KIMUM HARGE FT.)	VOLUME OF DAM (CY)		WER CAPA		TEENGTH'	WIDTHICE	NAVIGA	TION LO	OCKS	Ем <u>е</u> тн <b>w</b> ртн		
	2 23		161		500	(MW		(MW)	V (FT.)	(FT.) (F	1.)163	13E	T) TET	(FT.) (FT.)		
	کے <sub>سیس</sub> نہ ا		<u> </u>			1 <u>@</u>										
•	 	OW	NER		E	NGINEE	RING BY			. CONST	RUCTION	BY			••	
	CITY 0	F WOR	ESTER		UNKNOWN				UNKNI	OWN						
•		•			<b>®</b>			(9)				®				
-		DESIGN			ONSTRUCTION	GULATO	RY AGENC	OPERAT	ION		MAIN	TENAN	CE			
	NONE			NONE			NON			,	nvE			,		
	7071		<u>(B)</u>	7042			®					<del>_</del>				
			INSPECTION	8Y			TION DATE		AUTH	ORITY FO	RINSPECT	ION				
	METCAL	F + E(	DDY, INC			1.5	SEP78	PUBL	IC LAW	92+36	7					
ė						<b>®</b>									*	-
		<u> </u>			R	EMARK	\$		•	-					* *	-
													]			

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

## NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

NEDED

FEB 23 1979

Honorable Edward J. King Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State House Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Governor King:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Bell Pond Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, the City of Worcester, Department of Public Works, 20 East Worcester Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01604, ATTN: Mr. F. Worth Landers, Commissioner.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl
As stated

Colonel, Corps of Engineers

Division Engineer

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

## NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

NEDED

FEB 23 1979

Honorable Edward J. King Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State House Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Governor King:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Bell Pond Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, the City of Worcester, Department of Public Works, 20 East Worcester Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01604, ATTN: Mr. F. Worth Landers, Commissioner.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl As stated JOHN P. CHANDLER Colonel, Corps of Engineers

Division Engineer

# R

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS

424 TRAPELO ROAD

WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

NEDED-E

FEB 23 1979

Mr. F. Worth Landers, Commissioner Department of Public Works City of Worcester 20 East Worcester Street Worcester, Massachusetts 01604

Dear Commissioner Landers:

Forwarded herewith for your information and use is a copy of the Inspection Report on the Bell Pond Dam. This inspection was made under the authority of Public Law 92-367 by the firm of Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts under the direction and supervision of the Corps of Engineers. Copies of the finished report have been forwarded to the Governor and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Section 7 of the report contains an evaluation and recommendations. If you have any questions concerning this report, we suggest that you contact the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering first. Then, if there are further questions contact the Project Management Branch, Engineering Division of this office. We thank you for your cooperation and assistance in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl

As stated

JOE B. FRYAR

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS

424 TRAPELO ROAD

WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

NEDED-E

FEB 23 1979

Mr. F. Worth Landers, Commissioner Department of Public Works City of Worcester 20 East Worcester Street Worcester, Massachusetts 01604

Dear Commissioner Landers:

Forwarded herewith for your information and use is a copy of the Inspection Report on the Bell Pond Dam. This inspection was made under the authority of Public Law 92-367 by the firm of Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts under the direction and supervision of the Corps of Engineers. Copies of the finished report have been forwarded to the Governor and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Section 7 of the report contains an evaluation and recommendations. If you have any questions concerning this report, we suggest that you contact the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering first. Then, if there are further questions contact the Project Management Branch, Engineering Division of this office. We thank you for your cooperation and assistance in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Inc1

As stated

JOE B. FRYAR

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS

424 TRAPELO ROAD

WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

NEDED-E

FEB 23 1979

Mr. Anthony D. Cortese, Commissioner
Department of Environmental Quality
Engineering
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
100 Cambridge Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02202

Dear Commissioner Cortese:

Forwarded herewith for your information and use is a copy of the Inspection Report on Bell Pond Dam. This inspection was performed in accordance with Public Law 92-367 under the direction of the Corps of Engineers. Copies of the finished report have been forwarded to the Governor and the owner. We thank you for your cooperation and assistance in carrying out this program and hope this report will help you to develop an effective dam safety program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl As stated JOE E. FRYAR

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

NEDED-E

FEB 23 1979

Mr. Anthony D. Cortese, Commissioner
Department of Environmental Quality
Engineering
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
100 Cambridge Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02202

Dear Commissioner Cortese:

Forwarded herewith for your information and use is a copy of the Inspection Report on Bell Pond Dam. This inspection was performed in accordance with Public Law 92-367 under the direction of the Corps of Engineers. Copies of the finished report have been forwarded to the Governor and the owner. We thank you for your cooperation and assistance in carrying out this program and hope this report will help you to develop an effective dam safety program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl

As stated

JOE E. FRYAR

## INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES

	0 0	(i)	•	<b>①</b> (	D. O	•				0				•	C	Ð	(9)				
STATE N	DENTITY DIVISION	STATE CO	ONTY	CONGR DIST. ST	ATE, COUN	TY CONST	A		Ņ	IAME				LATITUE (NORTH	E LONG	ITUDE EST)	REPORT I				* **
MA	148 NED	MA :	127	03			<del></del>	POND DA	М						2 71	47.0	17NO	V78		;	
						<u>@</u>				T				(N)	<u></u> :			٦			
	· 1	ļ			P.	PULAR	NAME			ļ		N.	AME OF	IMPOUNDME	NT 					-	
								,		BEL	L POND										•
		السيا	<u>•</u>		<del></del>		(1)				NEAREST	<u>(ii)</u> DOWNS	TREAM		0	® IST	<b>(2)</b>	·- ·	1		
		REGION B	ASIN			RIVER	OR STREA	M		·	CITY-TO				FROM	MDAM MI.)	POPULAT	TION			
		01 (		NON	<u> </u>						STER					0	172	300	]		•
	٠	TYF	⊕ E OF	DAM	YE	AR LETED	PURP	OSES H	(P) FIGHT	æ HYDRAÜ HE∏GHT	IMPO	UNDING	CAPAC	(D) ITIES PMAL RE-FT.)	DIST	OW	n FED	R	PRV/FEC	scs.	A VER/DATE
		REP	<u>G</u> _,		1	840	R		<u>4</u>	6		65	<u></u>	54	NED	N	, N	l	, N	N	40EC78
		-							REMARI	 (S	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						7				
		<u> </u>															-				
			~~	(F)			⊕	······································		¥)	· · ·			<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<b>a</b>		
		@ 0/\$	<u>⊛</u>	SPILLWA	<u>®</u> .Y	MAX	CIMUM HARGE	VOLUME OF DAM (CY)	P	OWER C	APACITY	(E)	3	<b>9 6</b>	NAV!GA	ATION U	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
		HAS C	REST	H TYPE	WIDT!	0.000	FT.)	(CY)	INSTA	WLED.	PROPOSED (MW)	NO.	ENGTH'	WIPTH LED	GTH WIC	개	ACTH WIDT	HEE	म्पूर्युष्ण भारत		
		2	23	0		<u> </u>		50												-	
		1			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		D					<b>(4)</b>		<del></del> 1		·	· .	
		·İ		0	WNER		·		ENGINE	RING BY	Y	CONSTRUCTION BY						•			
		CIT	y 0	F WO!	RCEST	ΕR		UNKNOW	N				UNKNOWN								
				(8)				(9)				9				<b>(9</b> )					
		ļ		DESIG	N		· · · ·	CONSTRUCTION	REGULATI	URY AG		ATION	<u> </u>	<del></del>	MAIN	TENAN	CE .	$\dashv$			
		NON	<u>.                                    </u>				иои			N.	IONE			N/C	NE				•		
	Z <sup>1</sup> ac.		<u></u>			9	1011			(9)				<u> </u>	714 2						
					INSPE	CTION	ВҮ			CTION D			AUTH	ORITY FOR	INSPEC	TION					
		METO	CAL	F +	EDDY,	INC	•		11	BSEP7	8 PU	3L 1C	LAW	92=367	·		<del></del>				
	į								REMARI	(S						• • • • •	7				
									··· <del>·</del>				<del></del>		-	· .	4				

DISPOSITION				
REFERENCE ON OFFICE SYMBOL	SUBJECT			
NEDED-E	. Dar	n Inspection Fina	1 Report	
TO	FROM		DATE OFGO	)
Chief, Design Branch Chief, F&M Branch Chief, Water Control Branch	Da	airman, m Safety Review	Board	·
		Dam, Identity	No. Ma 00148	
<ol> <li>Please ascertain that the Branch comments or instruct Review Board Meeting.</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>If acceptable, retain the (master) approval sheet</li> </ol>			be prepared to s	ign
<ol> <li>If the report requires as soon as the determination</li> </ol>			, notify the unde	ersigned
5. The cost code for this	review is	ABA0 2 0700000000	•	

DISPOSITION		·
REFERENCE OR OFFICE SYMBOL	SUBJECT	
NEDED-E	Dam In	spection Final Report
TO	FROM	DATE OF60 C
Chief, Design Branch Chief, F & M Branch Chief, Water Control Bran		man, afety Review Board
1. Attached is a single Bell Pour		al report for am, Identity No. <u>Ma 00148</u>
		acceptable in accordance with your the Architect-Engineer at the
<ol><li>If acceptable, retain the (master) approval she</li></ol>		our files and be prepared to sign
<ol> <li>If the report require as soon as the determinat</li> </ol>		or correction, notify the undersigned
5. The cost code for thi	s review is ABA	0 <b>2</b> 0700000000.
		FINEGAN

This Phase I Inspection Report on Bell Pond Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

RICHARD F. DOHERTY, MEMBER

Water Control Branch Engineering Division

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER

Design Branch

Engineering Division

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, CHAIRMAN

Chief, NED Materials Testing Lab.

Foundations & Materials Branch

Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

OE B. FRYAR